

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/627,586 | MEKOUAR ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Traviss C. McIntosh | 1623 | |

All Participants:

(1) Traviss C. McIntosh.

(2) Jeffrey Pepe.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2006

Time: 12:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Called to see if a response had been filed to the office action mailed on 1/11/2006, wherein Mr. Pepe informed me that no response had been filed.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, ~~since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues.~~ A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)